1. Transformation to linear coordinates
Functions encountered in models of physical and chemical processes are rarely linear, but can usually be brought into a linear form
\[ f(x) = ax + b \] (1)
by a suitable substitution or other mathematical transformation. There is no general recipe for this process – it relies on experience and creativity. Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>function</th>
<th>linearisation</th>
<th>linear plot</th>
<th>intercept</th>
<th>slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( y = a \exp(-bx) )</td>
<td>( \ln(y) = \ln(a) - bx )</td>
<td>( \ln(y) ) against ( x )</td>
<td>( \ln(a) )</td>
<td>( -b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y = \frac{a}{b + cx} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{y} = \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} ) ( x )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{y} ) against ( x )</td>
<td>( \frac{b}{a} )</td>
<td>( \frac{c}{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y = ax^2 + 1 )</td>
<td>( \sqrt{y - 1} = \sqrt{a} ) ( x )</td>
<td>( \sqrt{y - 1} ) against ( x )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \sqrt{a} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all three cases, plotting experimental measurements directly in \( \{x, y\} \) coordinates would produce non-linear plots from which the parameters \( \{a, b, c\} \) cannot be easily extracted by hand.

However, plotting \( \ln(y) \) against \( x \) in the first case, \( \frac{1}{y} \) against \( x \) in the second case, and \( \sqrt{y - 1} \) against \( x \) in the third case would produce linear plots. The parameters may then be extracted from the intercept and slope obtained using one of the procedures described below.

2. Basic analysis of linear graphs
The most primitive method for extracting parameters from a linear graph is to plot the experimental data on a piece of paper and to draw a line through it by hand:

For a linear function \( y = ax + b \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are constant parameters, \( b \) ("intercept") can be extracted from the value of \( y \) at the point where \( x = 0 \), and \( a \) ("slope") may be obtained from a finite difference approximation to the derivative:
\[ \frac{dy}{dx} = a \approx \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \]  

(for linear functions this approximation is actually exact). This method is slow and prone to errors, particularly in situations where data points span several orders of magnitude in one or both coordinates. It also gives no quantitative measure of uncertainty in the resulting values of the parameters. It is a good historical illustration, but modern physical sciences use faster and more accurate techniques.

3. Linear least squares method

Given a set of data points \( \{x_n, y_n\} \) and a linear model \( f(x) = ax + b \), the formal statement of the problem of finding the "best" values of parameters \( a \) and \( b \) is to find the minimum of the deviation of the model from the experimental data points with respect to \( a \) and \( b \). One possible measure of this deviation is the sum of squares of the differences between \( f(x_n) \) and \( y_n \):

\[ \Omega(a,b) = \sum_n \left[ f(x_n) - y_n \right]^2 \]  

Squares are used to make sure that negative deviations do not cancel positive ones, and also because popular numerical optimisation methods, such as Newton-Raphson and LBFGS, are particularly efficient with quadratic functions. After replacing \( f(x) \) by its explicit linear form, we obtain the following expression for the error functional:

\[ \Omega(a,b) = \sum_n \left[ ax_n + b - y_n \right]^2 \]  

Because the values of \( x_n \) and \( y_n \) are fixed, \( \Omega \) is a function of the model parameters \( a \) and \( b \). Our task is to find the minimum of \( \Omega \) with respect to these parameters. Because the function is quadratic and the coefficient of the leading term is positive, there is only one stationary point and that point is a minimum. At that minimum, the first derivatives must be zero:

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial a} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial b} &= 0
\end{align*} \]

Rightarrow

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \sum_n \left[ ax_n + b - y_n \right]^2 &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \sum_n \left[ ax_n + b - y_n \right]^2 &= 0
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\sum_n \left[ ax_n + b - y_n \right] x_n &= 0 \\
\sum_n \left[ ax_n + b - y_n \right] y_n &= 0
\end{align*} \]  

(5)

Differentiation of a quadratic expression is straightforward and, after rearranging some brackets and sums, we obtain the following system of equations for \( a \) and \( b \):

\[ \begin{align*}
a \sum_n x_n^2 + b \sum_n x_n &= \sum_n x_n y_n \\
a \sum_n x_n + bN &= \sum_n y_n
\end{align*} \]  

(6)

where \( N \) is the total number of experimental point pairs. After solving this system, we get the expressions for the data analysis technique known as the linear least squares method:

\[ \begin{align*}
a &= \frac{N \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k y_k - \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k}{N \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k \right)^2} \\
b &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_j \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k y_k}{N \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k \right)^2}
\end{align*} \]  

(7)
Practical calculations are simplified considerably by the fact that the following four quantities are occurring repeatedly in Equations (8):

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k y_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^2
\]

4. Example: protein concentration measurement

The principle behind spectrophotometric determination of concentrations is the Beer-Lambert law – a relationship between the fraction of the passing light absorbed by the sample and the concentration of the absorbing substance. The law can be derived from basic principles – the reduction in the amount of light \( W \) absorbed by the sample is proportional to the amount to the sample concentration \( c \) and to the thickness of the sample layer \( dx \). Because the light is absorbed, the derivative is negative:

\[
\frac{dW}{dx} = -\varepsilon cW
\]  

where \( \varepsilon \) is a proportionality constant that is determined experimentally and called molar extinction coefficient. It is easy to check that the solution to this equation is:

\[
W(x) = W_0 \exp(-\varepsilon cx)
\]  

where \( W_0 \) is the initial light intensity and \( W(x) \) is the intensity that has made it to the point \( x \). It is clear from Equation (10) that light intensity in the sample decays exponentially. To facilitate least squares fitting, Equation (10) is normally used in the linearized form, where \( A \) is called absorbance:

\[
A = \varepsilon cx, \quad A = \log \frac{W_0}{W(x)}
\]  

In this equation, \( \varepsilon \) is a constant. Therefore, if the length of the optical path \( x \) is also kept constant, the absorbance becomes a linear function of concentration, creating a useful analytical method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>BCA assay, absorbance at 562 nm</th>
<th>Bradford assay, absorbance at 595 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Spectrophotometric measurement results from Bradford and BCA assays of a bovine alpha-lactalbumin sample.
Applied to proteins, spectrophotometric assay requires an extra step: most proteins do not absorb visible light and therefore a chemical procedure is required to convert the protein into a substance that does. The simplest such substance is ninhydrin, but more sophisticated and accurate techniques are **Bradford assay** (using a specialised dye) and **BCA assay** (using a copper complex). A typical outcome of a protein assay experiment is shown in Table 1. The table shows the absorbance of samples with known concentration (the **calibration set**) and the absorbance of the samples being tested.
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**Figure 1.** Least squares fitting results for the calibration data of BCA and Bradford assays. The resulting parameters are: $a=0.34\pm0.01$, $b=0.03\pm0.01$ for BCA and $a=0.25\pm0.02$, $b=0.04\pm0.02$ for Bradford.

Figure 1 shows typical least squares fits, performed in *Origin*. We will deal with the error analysis (coloured bands) in a few lectures’ time. The parameters of the fits may now be used to determine concentrations from absorbance measurements.