

## CHEM2024 - Week 18 Lecture 2 - Linear expansions and basis sets

Sections 16.1-16.5, 16.10 of Steiner, "The Chemistry Maths Book", 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.

Linear combinations are important in physical sciences – it is often necessary to represent functions or vectors as linear combinations of other functions or vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \alpha_1 g_1(x) + \alpha_2 g_2(x) + \dots + \alpha_n g_n(x) \\ \vec{y} &= \alpha_1 \vec{x}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{x}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{x}_n \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

examples are writing a vector as a combination of three principal direction ors  $\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$ , or representing a function as a combination of sine and cosine waves of different frequencies.

### 1. Linear expansions and basis sets

It is important to establish under what conditions the expansions shown in Equation (1) are unique, *i.e.* only one set of coefficients  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  exists that satisfies Equation (1).

Theorem: let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n, \vec{y} \in V$ , and let

$$\vec{y} = \alpha_1 \vec{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{x}_n \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$ . This expansion of  $\vec{y}$  in terms of  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$  is unique if and only if the vectors  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$  are linearly independent.

Proof: let us assume that another set of expansion coefficients  $\beta_k \in \mathbb{F}$  exists for which also

$$\vec{y} = \beta_1 \vec{x}_1 + \dots + \beta_n \vec{x}_n \quad (3)$$

Then the difference between Equations (2) and (3) will be:

$$\vec{0} = (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) \vec{x}_1 + \dots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n) \vec{x}_n \quad (4)$$

which implies that the set  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$  is not actually linearly independent, because for example  $\vec{x}_1$  may now be expressed as a linear combination of  $\vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_n$ . For linearly independent  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$  we must therefore have  $\alpha_k = \beta_k$  for all  $k$ . ▀

A **basis set** of a vector space is a set of linearly independent vectors from that space, such that any vector of the space may be represented as a linear combination of basis vectors. In other words, if  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$  is a basis set of  $V$ , then every element  $\vec{y} \in V$  can be represented as

$$\vec{y} = \sum_k \alpha_k \vec{x}_k \quad (5)$$

This relation is called an **expansion** of  $\vec{y}$  in the basis  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ . As per the theorem above, this expansion is unique. Therefore, once a basis set is chosen, any vector in  $V$  can be represented by a string of numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , which are called **expansion coefficients**. The number of elements in the basis of a space is called the **dimension** of that space. All basis sets of a given space have the same number of vectors.

### 2. Finding expansion coefficients

The definitions given above do not provide a way of finding the expansion coefficients. We can, however, use the scalar products introduced in the previous lecture. Consider a particular expansion of some vector  $|y\rangle$  via the basis vectors  $\{|x_1\rangle, \dots, |x_n\rangle\}$ :

$$|y\rangle = \alpha_1 |x_1\rangle + \dots + \alpha_n |x_n\rangle \quad (6)$$

where we switched to Dirac notation for convenience. Let us take a scalar product of both sides of this expression with each vector  $|x_k\rangle$  in turn:

$$\begin{cases} \langle x_1 | y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1 | x_1 \rangle + \dots + \alpha_n \langle x_1 | x_n \rangle \\ \langle x_2 | y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_2 | x_1 \rangle + \dots + \alpha_n \langle x_2 | x_n \rangle \\ \dots \\ \langle x_n | y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_n | x_1 \rangle + \dots + \alpha_n \langle x_n | x_n \rangle \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In this system of equations, all angular brackets are just numbers. We therefore have a system of  $n$  equations for  $n$  unknown expansion coefficients; this system may be solved for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ .

**Example 1:** find the expansion of the vector  $|y\rangle = (5 \ 7)^T$  in the basis set  $\{|x_1\rangle, |x_2\rangle\}$ , where  $|x_1\rangle = (3 \ 5)^T$  and  $|x_2\rangle = (1 \ 1)^T$ .

**Solution:** in this case, the expansion contains two unknown coefficients

$$|y\rangle = \alpha_1 |x_1\rangle + \alpha_2 |x_2\rangle$$

Taking scalar products of this expression with  $|x_1\rangle$  and then  $|x_2\rangle$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \langle x_1 | y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1 | x_1 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_2 | y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_2 | x_1 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle x_2 | x_2 \rangle \end{cases}$$

After calculating the scalar products, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} 50 = 34\alpha_1 + 8\alpha_2 \\ 13 = 8\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \end{cases}$$

from which we conclude that  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and  $\alpha_2 = 2$ , and therefore:

$$|y\rangle = |x_1\rangle + 2|x_2\rangle$$

### 3. Orthonormal basis sets

Solving Equations (7) every time expansion coefficients are needed is cumbersome. Let us impose two further conditions on the basis set – that all its vectors have unit length, and are mutually orthogonal:

$$|\vec{x}_k| = 1 \quad \forall k, \quad \langle x_k | x_m \rangle = 0 \quad \text{when } k \neq m \quad (8)$$

These conditions may be merged into the following more compact expression:

$$\langle x_k | x_m \rangle = \delta_{km} \quad (9)$$

where  $\delta_{km}$  is *Kronecker symbol*, equal to 1 when  $k = m$ , and to zero otherwise. With this condition in place, Equation (7) simplifies because the brackets on the right hand side are either 0 or 1. Once those simplifications are applied, the expressions for the expansion coefficients become explicit:

$$\begin{cases} \langle x_1 | y \rangle = \alpha_1 \\ \langle x_2 | y \rangle = \alpha_2 \\ \dots \\ \langle x_n | y \rangle = \alpha_n \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) may also be obtained by a more direct and general route. Let us assume that we have a general expansion for a vector  $\bar{y}$  in an *orthonormal basis set*  $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$  that satisfies Equation (9):

$$|y\rangle = \sum_k \alpha_k |x_k\rangle \quad (11)$$

Taking a scalar product on both sides of this expression with a specific basis vector  $|x_m\rangle$ , we get:

$$\langle x_m | y \rangle = \sum_k \alpha_k \langle x_m | x_k \rangle \quad (12)$$

where we now notice that all the brackets on the right hand side are zero, except for  $\langle x_m | x_m \rangle$ , which is equal to 1. This yields a very simple result:

$$\alpha_m = \langle x_m | y \rangle \quad (13)$$

Therefore, to find the expansion coefficient in an orthonormal basis set, it is sufficient to take a scalar product with the corresponding vector. The simplicity of Equation (13) is the principal reason why orthonormal basis sets are preferred in computational chemistry.

**Example 2:** demonstrate that the following basis set is orthonormal

$$\bar{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{x}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ +1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{x}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ +1 \\ +1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Solution:** we can confirm by calculating the corresponding norms that  $|\bar{x}_1| = |\bar{x}_2| = |\bar{x}_3| = 1$ . The calculation of scalar products likewise yields  $\langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle = \langle x_2 | x_3 \rangle = \langle x_3 | x_1 \rangle = 0$ .

**Example 3:** the following basis occurs in nuclear magnetic resonance, and is known to be orthogonal, but not normalised

$$|\sigma_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ +1/2 \\ +1/2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\sigma_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ +i/2 \\ -i/2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\sigma_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} +1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find the expansion of  $|\rho\rangle = (0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0)^T$  in this basis.

**Solution:** it is clear that  $|\sigma_3\rangle$  would not contribute because it has a zero in the third position. We are therefore looking at the following expansion:

$$|\rho\rangle = \alpha_1 |\sigma_1\rangle + \alpha_2 |\sigma_2\rangle$$

Taking scalar products of this with  $|\sigma_1\rangle$  and  $|\sigma_2\rangle$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \langle \sigma_1 | \rho \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle \sigma_1 | \sigma_1 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle \sigma_1 | \sigma_2 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 | \rho \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle \sigma_2 | \sigma_1 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle \sigma_2 | \sigma_2 \rangle \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \langle \sigma_1 | \rho \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle \sigma_1 | \sigma_1 \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 | \rho \rangle = \alpha_2 \langle \sigma_2 | \sigma_2 \rangle \end{cases}$$

where the expression simplifies because  $\langle \sigma_1 | \sigma_2 \rangle = 0$  – the basis set is orthogonal. Therefore, the expressions for the coefficients are:

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\langle \sigma_1 | \rho \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 | \sigma_1 \rangle}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\langle \sigma_2 | \rho \rangle}{\langle \sigma_2 | \sigma_2 \rangle}$$

Simple arithmetic (not forgetting the conjugation in the scalar product) yields  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , and  $\alpha_2 = i$ , and therefore:

$$|\rho\rangle = |\sigma_1\rangle + i|\sigma_2\rangle$$